

PHYCOREMEDIATION OF MEAT PROCESSING WASTEWATER BY
USING MICROALGAE *SCENEDESMUS* SP.

NUR ATIKAH BINTI AHMAD LATIFFI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the Doctor
of Philosophy in Civil and Environmental Engineering

Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

SEPTEMBER 2018

DEDICATION

Especially to my beloved family, my supervisor and friends

For giving me infinite care and blessing

Thank you for your endless support to me



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, all praise is to Allah, the Almighty for giving me the courage and guidance to finish my project. The author would like to acknowledge and extend her heartfelt gratitude to her Supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Radin Maya Saphira Radin Mohamed for her advice, encouragement and guidance. Without her guidance, this research would not have been possible. The author would also like to express her gratitude to her Co Supervisor Prof. Ir. Dr. Amir Hashim bin Mohd Kassin, Dr. Hazel peralta and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ramlah Tajuddin for their guidance and support towards the completion of this research.

The author would also like to thank her beloved family especially her parents, Ahmad Latiffi HjYaacob and Azizan Mohd Yudin, also brothers; Ahmad Azid Tahar and Ahmad Azrain for their unending support and love. Moreover, the author would like to express her love and affection to her nephews, Ahmad Nidal Tahar and Ahmad Niyaz Tahar, for being her inspiration and encouragements in completing this research. Besides that, the author would like to thank her beloved friends and lab mates who had helped her during this project and for their support given.

Last but not least, the author would like to extend her gratitude to lab assistants, Pn. Mahfuzah, En. Ridhwan, En Azuwan, En Faisal and staffs in Faculty of Civil Engineering for helping her to complete her research studies. Thank you very much.

ABSTRACT

Wastewater produced from Meat Processing Wastewater (MPWW) contains high nutrient loads which can induce eutrophication and cause water quality to deteriorate as it has been claimed that this type of wastewater is difficult to treat due to different types of processed meat and factory activities. The objective of this study was to analyse the ability of phycoremediation technology in order to assess its potential use as in treating MPWW and biomass by-product production. *Scenedesmus* sp., a type of microalgae, was employed to investigate the effects of a number of factors namely pH, temperature, light intensity, photo period and reduction in nutrients. The factors were measured through the growth rate of biomass productivity and removal efficiency. Subsequently, the design expert method was used to predict the optimal flocculation efficiency of aluminium sulphate for biomass harvesting. It was found that *Scenedesmus* sp. has a higher biomass productivity in the pH range of 7 to 8, temperatures between 25°C to 40°C, light intensity at 4000 lux and a photoperiod of 12 hours light/12 hours dark. These conditions show a significant influence on biomass productivity at a concentration of 1×10^6 cells/mL. Furthermore, the highest removal of nutrients was up to 77% for ammonia, orthophosphate, total nitrogen and total phosphorus, followed by chemical oxygen demand at 64%. On the other hand, the optimum flocculation efficiency of 97.54% was found to be best for biomass harvesting. These discoveries are significant as they showed that phycoremediation is suitable and has the potential to be implemented in treating MPWW, specifically in tropical Malaysia.

ABSTRAK

Air sisa buangan dari kilang pemprosesan daging mengandungi tinggi kandungan nutrient dimana jika berlebihan oleh menyebabkan eutrofikasi dan kemerosotan kualiti air. Disamping itu, air sisa buangan dari pemprosesan daging sukar dirawat oleh kerana kepelbagaian jenis daging dan aktiviti kilang yang beroperasi. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menganalisa keupayaan teknologi phycoremediation dalam merawat air sisa pemprosesan daging disamping melihat potensi penghasilan biomas. Mikroalgal sepesis *Scenedesmus* dipilih untuk dianalisa terhadap beberapa factor iaitu pH, suhu, intensity cahaya, tempoh pengcahayaan dan kepekatan mikro-algal melalui kadar pertumbuhan produktiviti biomas serta penyingkiran nutrient. Seterusnya, aplikasi *Response Surface Method* digunakan bagi menganalisa kesesuaian dos aluminium sulfate dalam penuaian biomas. Hasil kajian mendapati bahawa kadar pertumbuhan produktiviti biomas adalah tertinggi pada keadaan pH 7 hingga pH 8, suhu antara 25°C hingga 40°C, keamatan cahaya pada 4000 lux, fotoperiod pada 12/12 terang : gelap dan 1×10^6 cells/mL kepekatan awal spesies *Scenedesmus*. Disamping itu, penyingkiran nutrien tertinggi adalah sehingga 77% untuk ammonia, ortofosfat, nitrogen dan fosforus, diikuti oleh *chemical oxygen demand*, COD pada 64%. Penemuan ini penting kerana ia menunjukkan bahawa teknologi phycoremediation adalah sesuai, mempunyai potensi serta sebagai kaedah alternative untuk dilaksanakan dalam merawat air sisa pemprosesan daging, khususnya di iklim tropikal Malaysia.

CONTENT

TITLE	i
DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
CONTENT	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xix
LIST OF APPENDICES	xx
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Objectives	5
1.4 Hypothesis of Research	6
1.5 Scope of Work	7
1.6 Significance of Study	7
1.7 Thesis Structure	8
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Phycoremediation	10
2.2.1 Advantage of Phycoremediation	12
2.2.2 Microalgae in Phycoremediation of wastewater	12

2.3	Characteristics of Food Processing Wastewater	16
2.3.1	Sources and Activity in Meat Processing Facilities	17
2.4	Microalgae	20
2.4.1	Identification and Characteristics of algae	21
2.4.2	Metabolism of Algae	22
2.4.3	Nutrients Uptake of Algae	23
2.4.4	Chemical Composition of Algae	23
2.4.5	Growth of Algae	24
2.4.6	Determining Cells Number Density	24
2.4.7	Growth pattern and kinetics in Batch culture	25
2.4.8	Calculating Growth Rates	26
2.4.9	Sample Data for Calculation of Growth rate	28
2.5	Microalgae <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i>	28
2.5.1	Environmental Effects on Microalgae Growth	30
2.6	Wastewater Treatment for Food Processing Industrial	36
2.7	Application of Microalgae in wastewater treatment system	39
2.8	Conventional Wastewater Treatment	43
2.8.1	Preliminary Treatment System	43
2.8.2	Primary Treatment System	43
2.8.3	Secondary Treatment	44
2.8.4	Tertiary Treatment System	44
2.9	Mathematical Approach on Analysis	45
2.9.1	Phycoremediation Mathematical Model	45
2.9.2	Michaelis-Menten Kinetics	47

2.9.3	Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	48
2.10	Harvesting of Microalgae Biomass	50
2.11	Summary	52
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	53
3.0	Introduction	53
3.1	Microalgae Preparation	55
3.1.1	Preparation and Identification of Microalgae <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i>	55
3.1.2	Cell Density of Microalgae <i>Scenedesmus</i> <i>sp.</i>	57
3.2	Meat Processing Wastewater (MPWW) Collection	59
3.2.1	Sampling, Storage and Preservation	60
3.2.2	Test, Equipment and Method	61
3.3	Survivability of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> on Environmental Factor Impact	62
3.3.1	Optimization on Initial Cell Density of Microalgae <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i>	63
3.4	Phycoremediation	63
3.5	Mathematical Approach	64
3.5.1	Phycoremediation Mathematical Model	64
3.5.2	Michaelis-Menten Kinetics	65
3.6	Harvesting of Microalgae Biomass	67
3.6.1	Flocculation Test using RSM	67
3.7	Biomass Characteristics	68
3.7.1	Soxhlet extraction method	68
3.7.2	Chemical Compound Analysis	69
3.8	Laboratory Scale Set-up	69
3.9	Summary	71

CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	72
4.1	Introduction	72
4.2	Characteristics of Meat Processing Wastewater (MPWW)	72
4.3	Environmental Factors on Microalgae <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in different pH, temperature, light intensity and photo period.	76
4.3.1	Microalgae <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> Growth at Different pH	76
4.3.2	Microalgae <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in Different Temperature	79
4.3.3	Microalgae <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in Different Light Intensity	81
4.3.4	Microalgae <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in Different Photoperiod	84
4.3.5	Microalgae <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in Different Initial Concentration – Basal Bold Medium, BBM (Control) and Meat Processing Wastewater (MPWW)	86
4.4	Phycoremediation of Meat Processing Wastewater (MPWW)	90
4.5	Batch Study of Ammonia Nitrogen and Orthophosphate Removal	99
4.5.1	Optimization on N: P Ratio	108
4.6	The Efficiency of Microalgae Biomass Harvesting	109
4.7	Adaptation on laboratory scale treatment in treating Meat Processing Wastewater (MPWW)	112
4.8	Biomass Production and Potential By-Product	118
4.8.1	Fourier Transforms Infrared, FT-IR	118

	Analysis	
	4.8.2 GC-MS Analysis	122
	4.9 Summary	126
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH	127
	5.1 Introduction	127
	5.2 Conclusion	127
	5.3 Future Research	129
	REFERENCES	130
	APPENDIX A	154
	APPENDIX B	158
	APPENDIX C	159
	APPENDIX D	163
	LIST OF PUBLICATION	168
	VITA	169



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

LIST OF TABLE	PAGE
Table 2.1: A review on using biological microalgae treatment in treating wastewater	15
Table 2.2: Type of food processing wastewater based on range of flow (gal/ton product)	17
Table 2.3: Category and Activities in Meat Processing Industries	18
Table 2.4: Meat processing wastewater characteristics from previous studies	20
Table 2.5: Microalgae Species	22
Table 2.6: Summary of microalgae <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in bioremediation of various wastewaters	29
Table 2.7: Review on environmental factors of optimum conditions for different microalgae species	33
Table 2.8: A review on wastewater treatment from food processing facilities	38
Table 2.9: Summary of wastewater treatment system for High Rate Algal Ponds	40
Table 2.10: Summary of the type of wastewater treatment	42
Table 2.11: Previous researchers on Bio-kinetics Model	48
Table 2.12: Review on previous studies using RSM as an optimization tools	50
Table 2.13: Potential uses of algal biomass	52
Table 3.1: Basal Bold Medium (BBM) compositions of stock	56
Table 3.2: List of activities associated with time runs in the facility (morning, afternoon and evening) in the meat processing facility	60
Table 3.3: Sampling container and minimum size of sample	61
Table 3.4: Preservation of sample	61

Table 3.5: Experimental analysis equipment's	62
Table 3.1: Determination of optimum operating parameter to determined survivability of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i>	63
Table 3.7: Summarized of flocculation optimization parameter by using Bolds Basal Medium, BBM.	68
Table 3.8: Summary of proposed tanks for treatment systems	71
Table 4.1: Characteristics of meat processing wastewater samples collected from small and medium industry during period between February and April (Maximum and Mean value). Sampling for 8 weeks in triplicate (n =3)	73
Table 4.2: Comparison of wastewater composition from this study with previous studies.	75
Table 4.3: Specific growth rates (μ) and Biomass Productions of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> at different pH	77
Table 4.4: Specific growth rates (μ) and biomass productions of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> at different temperature	80
Table 4.5: Specific growth rates (μ) and Biomass Productions of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> at different light intensity (Lux)	82
Table 4.6: Specific growth rates (μ) and Biomass Productions of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> at different photoperiod (Light/Dark)	85
Table 4.7: Specific growth rates (μ) and biomass production of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> at different initial concentration of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i>	87
Table 4.8: Specific growth rates (μ) and Biomass Productions of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> at different N:P ratio	108
Table 4.9: RSM response of actual factor of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> harvesting in MPWW.	110
Table 4.10: ANOVA response surface quadratic model on harvesting efficiency (%) of Alum in MPWW with <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i>	111

Table 4.11: RSM response of quadratic equation for flocculation efficiency of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in MPWW for coded and actual factors.	111
Table 4.12: Summary of FT-IR spectrometer wavelength analysis.	121
Table 4.13: Chemicals compounds in biomass oil cultivated in Meat processing wastewater (MPWW).	125



LIST OF FIGURE	PAGE
Figure 2.1: Typical flow chart of food processing activities	18
Figure 2.2: Typical growth curve for microorganism	25
Figure 2.3: Mechanism of algae with environmental factors	30
Figure 2.4: Projected first order reaction of curve for plots of reactant concentration vs time and natural logarithm	46
Figure 2.5: Example of contour plot from RSM	49
Figure 3.1: Overall flowchart for experimental works	54
Figure 3.2: Microscope for counting microalgae (Olympus)	57
Figure 3.3: Counting area of haemocytometer	57
Figure 3.4: Sampling location and location of discharge flow	60
Figure 3.5: Plot of Michaelis- Menten graph	66
Figure 3.6: Schematic diagram of overall proposed treatment system	70
Figure 4.1: Growth of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in different pH of experimental data	78
Figure 4.2: Specific growth rate (day^{-1}) and Biomass productivity (cell/mL/day) of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in different pH conditions	78
Figure 4.3: Growth of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in different temperature of experimental data	80
Figure 4.4: Specific growth rate (day^{-1}) and Biomass production (cell/mL/day) of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in different temperature conditions	81
Figure 4.5: Growth of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in different light intensity of experimental data (Appendix E)	83

Figure 4.6: Specific growth rate (day^{-1}) and Biomass productivity (cell/mL/day) of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in different light intensities conditions	83
Figure 4.7: Growth of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in different photoperiod of experimental data	85
Figure 4.8: Specific growth rate (day^{-1}) and Biomass productivity (cell/mL/day) of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in different photoperiod conditions	86
Figure 4.9: Growth of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in different initials concentration of experimental data	87
Figure 4.10: Growth of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in different initials concentration of experimental data in MPWW	88
Figure 4.11: Specific growth rate (day^{-1}) and Biomass productivity (cell/mL/day) of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in different initials concentration of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in BBM	89
Figure 4.12: Specific growth rate (day^{-1}) and Biomass productivity (cell/mL/day) of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in different initials concentration of <i>Scenedesmus sp.</i> in MPWW	89
Figure 4.13: Removal of Total Nitrogen (TN) with respect to time (days) in different initials concentration of microalgae	91
Figure 4.14: Comparison of phycoremediation of TN between mathematical modelling with experimental data in MPWW	91
Figure 4.15: Removal of Total Phosphorus (TP) in respect with time (days) in different initials concentration of microalgae	93
Figure 4.16: Comparison of phycoremediation of TP between mathematical modelling with experimental data in MPWW	93
Figure 4.17: Removal of Ammonia (NH_4^+) in respect with time (days) in different initials concentration of microalgae	94

Figure 4.18: Comparison of phycoremediation of Ammonia Nitrogen (NH_4^+) between mathematical modelling with experimental data in MPWW	95
Figure 4.19: Removal of Orthophosphate in respect with time (days) in different initials concentration of microalgae	96
Figure 4.20: Comparison of phycoremediation of Orthophosphate between mathematical modelling with experimental data in MPWW	97
Figure 4.21: Removal of Chemical oxygen demand (COD) in respect with time (days) in different initials concentration of microalgae	98
Figure 4.22: Comparison of phycoremediation of Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) between mathematical modelling with experimental data in MPWW.	98
Figure 4.23: Total removal of COD, NH_4^+ , PO_4^{3-} , TN and TP in different initials concentrations of microalgae.	99
Figure 4.24: Changes in $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ Concentrations vs Time during Batch Experimental under Different Initial $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ Concentrations	101
Figure 4.25: Changes in PO_4^{3-} Concentrations vs Time during Batch Experimental under Different Initial PO_4^{3-} Concentrations	102
Figure 4.26: Chl a contents subject to initials concentration of $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ and PO_4^{3-}	103
Figure 4.27: Effect of Specific $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ removal rate with different of Initial $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$	104
Figure 4.28: Effect of Specific PO_4^{3-} removal rate with different of Initial PO_4^{3-}	104
Figure 4.29: $1/\text{R}_{\text{xi}}$ vs $1/\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ for kinetic coefficients, K_m and k , ($\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ removal)	105
Figure 4.30: $1/\text{R}_{\text{xi}}$ vs $1/\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ for kinetic coefficients, K_m and k , (PO_4^{3-} removal.)	105

Figure 4.31: Different Final and Initial of (chl a) _f – (chl a) _i vs Different Final and Initial Ammonia Nitrogen, (NH ₄ N) ₀ – (NH ₄ N) _f for Yield of Coefficient removal by <i>Scenedesmus sp</i>	106
Figure 4.32: Different Final and Initial of (chl a) _f – (chl a) _i vs Different Final and Initial Orthophosphate, (PO ₄ ³⁻) ₀ – (PO ₄ ³⁻) _f for Yield of Coefficient removal by <i>Scenedesmus sp</i> .	107
Figure 4.33: Growth cells of <i>Scenedesmus sp</i> . in different ratio of N:P	109
Figure 4.34: Normal probability plot (%) for internal of residuals for flocculation efficiency using alum.	111
Figure 4.15: Contour and 3D contour response surface plans for harvesting efficiency using alum as coagulant	112
Figure 4.36: Calibration Curve (Dry Weight vs. OD650nm)	113
Figure 4.37: Growth rates of <i>Scenedesmus sp</i> . in the treatment system.	114
Figure 4.38: The removal of Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) respect with time (days) of microalgae	115
Figure 4.39: The removal of Ammonia respect with time (days) in of microalgae.	115
Figure 4.40: The removal of Total Nitrogen (TN) respect with time (days) in of microalgae.	116
Figure 4.41: The removal of Total Phosphorus (TP) respect with time (days) in of microalgae.	116
Figure 4.42: The removal of Orthophosphate respect with time (days) in of microalgae	117
Figure 4.43: Summary of percentage removal of nutrients from laboratory batch system	117
Figure 4.44: The FTIR of microalgae oil cultivated in MPWW using <i>Scenedesmus sp</i> . (a, b, and c).	120
Figure 4.45: GC-MS analysis for Meat processing wastewater cultured with microalgae <i>Scenedesmus sp</i>	124

SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

MPWW	= Meat Processing Wastewater
BOD	= Biological Oxygen Demand
COD	= Chemical Oxygen Demand
TP	= Total Phosphorus
TN	= Total Nitrogen
TSS	= Total Suspended Solids
H	= Hydrogen
C	= Carbon
μ_{\max}	= Maximum Growth Rates
sp.	= Species
ICP-MS	= Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry
RSM	= Response Surface Method
GC-MS	= Gas chromatography mass spectrometry
BBM	= Basal Bold Medium
TOC	= Total Organic Compound
PO_4^{3-}	= Orthophosphate
IC	= Inert Carbon

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Molecular Identification and Analysis	151
B	Design Sheet of Treatment Systems	155
C	Statically Analysis	156
D	Example of Calculation Sheets	160



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Wastewater is defined as effluent that is generated by residential homes, agricultural activities, commercial properties as well as industrial industries. In other words, wastewater is a word that represents water that has been contaminated with pollutants and microbes (Sriram & Seenivasan, 2012). Wastewater represents a major global matter in developed and developing countries (Dube, 2010). It is related with the discharge of untreated or partially treated wastewater into the water bodies which leads to water pollution. This is due to the high content of toxic pollutants and pathogenic contaminants in these wastes (Amaefule *et al.*, 2011). Specifically, industrial wastewater is more complicated in terms of its characteristics, especially wastewater from meat processing food industries due to chemical usage during the manufacturing process. Therefore, a proper treatment process is required to ensure that effluents produced from these facilities meet acceptable wastewater effluent standards set by the authorities (Barnes *et al.*, 1984; Aris *et al.*, 2000).

The most common food processing wastewaters found in previous studies come from breweries, vegetable oil factories and other industries (Abdalla, 2014). Wastewater produced by meat processing and food industrial activities consume significantly larger quantities of fresh water. According to Bustillo & Mehrvar, (2015) and Bustillo-Lecompte *et al.*, (2016), meat processing industries among are the industries that consume up to 24% water of freshwater, making them a major

producer of wastewater. As reported by Sroka *et al.*, (2004), meat processing facilities use roughly about 62 mm³ of water per year. Lu *et al.*, (2015) reported that meat processing facilities produce approximately 10,000m³ of water in the USA each day. Unlike other types of wastewater such as municipal and agriculture wastewater, there is no specific wastewater treatment for meat processing industrial wastewater. The pollutant parameters of meat processing wastewater (MPWW) are commonly characterised by biological oxygen demand (BOD) and chemical oxygen demand (COD) contents along with other recoverable nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorus, total organic carbon and total suspended solids (TSS) (Qasim & Mane, 2013; Bustillo & Mehrvar, 2015; Bustillo-Lecompte *et al.*, 2016). For years, the inadequate treatment of MPWW has been a major problem for the government. The problem normally occurs during the disposal of the MPWW that contains large quantities of pollutants as claimed by Echiegu & Liberty, (2013).

To date, there has been many attempts done by researchers to find the best treatment system for wastewater generated by food-based industries including the two-phase aerobic treatment to treat wastewater from the biscuits industry (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2013); two-stage air floatation treatment to treat slaughter house wastewater (Al-Mutairi *et al.*, 2008); two-hybrid system applying activated sludge and ultrafiltration in the treatment of meat wastewater (Bohdziewicz & Sroka, 2006), membrane bioreactor, (MBR) (Merz *et al.*, 2007) and many more. The treatment process used usually depends on financial status, location of treatment plants, other supporting equipment, standard of living as well as quality of life (Bustillo-Lecompte *et al.*, 2016). Moreover, there are other types of methods that specifically treat MPWW such as activated sludge with reverse osmosis (Bohdziewicz & Sroka, 2005); activated sludge with coagulation (Sroka *et al.*, 2004); ultrafiltration with reverse osmosis (Bohdziewicz & Sroka, 2002); coagulation with reverse osmosis (Bohdziewicz & Sroka, 2003), aerobic treatment by using SBR (Ge *et al.*, 2013); and a combination of coagulation with ultrafiltration and reverse osmosis. Bohdziewicz & Sroka (2006) have found that some of these technologies have exhibited efficiency in the removal of pollutants from MPWW. However, the disadvantages which include toxic by-products, high cost as well as the adverse

effects on the environment have limited the application of these technologies. The complexity of each industrial activity is the main reason why the treatment of wastewater should be specifically tailored to each industry (Liu *et al.*, 2014).

The application of microalgae in the bioremediation of pollutants is known as the phycoremediation process. It has been known to be eco-friendly and cost effective in the removal of pollutants (Sriran & Seenivasan, 2012; Phang *et al.*, 2015) since the process only requires adequate sunlight and a few nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus to grow (Aslan & Kapdan, 2006). Moreover, microalgae also have the ability to assimilate pollutants under different environmental conditions as claimed by Dwivedi, (2012); Worku & Sahu, (2014). Additionally, growing algae in wastewater has more advantages over traditional algae farms; they not only assimilate nutrients, but also offer economic sustainability as MPWW is rich in nutrient loading and does not require the addition of synthetic nutrients to support algae growth (Lu *et al.*, 2015). Thus, coupling algae with wastewater such as MPWW can result in significant benefits not only in terms of production cost but also the environment through nutrient consumption and carbon dioxide fixation (Liu *et al.*, 2014). However, the current practices in microalgae technologies are either too expensive or unstable as most commercial cultivations of microalgae use synthetic chemicals for microalgae growth. Hence, replacing chemicals with wastewater may reduce the cost of production (Lu *et al.*, 2015).

Scenedesmus sp. is a type of green algae that widely exists in freshwater bodies. According to Li *et al.*, (2011b), *Scenedesmus sp.* has a good potential in exhibiting and removing nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus as well as lipid accumulation (Song *et al.*, 2014; Gupta *et al.*, 2016). Additionally, a study done by Park *et al.*, (2010) showed that *Scenedesmus sp.* can remove effluents that contain high amounts of ammonium and alkalinity due to its own characteristics for growth. Moreover, *Scenedesmus sp.* has the ability to survive in adverse and robust conditions of pH, temperature, light and salinity. It also has high growth rates under higher concentrations of bicarbonates and CO₂ gas (Tripathi *et al.*, 2015). These characteristics make *Scenedesmus sp.* a suitable candidate in treating MPWW as MPWW contains high nutrient concentration which can be essential for microalgae

growth as claimed by previous researchers. (Park *et al.*, 2010; Xin *et al.*, 2010; Alva *et al.*, 2013; Song *et al.*, 2014; Kim *et al.*, 2015; Lekshmi *et al.*, 2015; Gupta *et al.*, 2016; Michel *et al.*, 2016). The results have proven that *Scenedesmus sp.* is capable of removing pollutants successfully from different types of wastewater.

Thus, the aim of this research is to investigate the phycoremediation potential of *Scenedesmus sp.* in removing the nutrient pollutant load of MPWW. It includes a preliminary study to identify the survivability of *Scenedesmus sp.* under different environmental conditions that enhance growth and biomass production such as pH, temperature, light intensity and photoperiod. Furthermore, a laboratory experiment was conducted to assess the potential of *Scenedesmus sp.* for cultivation under natural weather conditions, its availability in treating wastewater, as well as its potential by-products. Treating MPWW is an economic and health necessity as claimed by Bustillo-Lecompte *et al.*, (2016).

1.2 Problem Statement

Wastewater produced from the meat processing industry should be discharged correctly and be free from harm pollutants. Failure to do so can cause major environmental hazards such as eutrophication due to excessive nutrients. This results in the deterioration of water quality which indirectly harms human health and aquatic life. Nevertheless, one of the meat processing factories located at Parit Raja Darat, Johor, currently throws and discharges highly polluted wastewater directly from the factory into the nearest rivers without any treatment prior to discharge. Such activities can cause adverse effects to small rivers and other nearby water bodies such as eutrophication, death of aquatic life and unpleasant smells resulting from the discharge. Typically, meat processing wastewater (MPWW) is known to be rich in nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorus and carbon. These elements are essential for all forms of life. An innovative and sustainable method using sustainable materials and approaches is crucial in assessing this problem. Recently, the idea of applying phycoremediation technology using the microalgae *Scenedesmus sp.* in treating MPWW is promising. Mostly, microalgae has the

REFERENCES

- Al-Mutairi, N. Z., Al-Sharifi, F. a., & Al-Shammari, S. B. (2008). Evaluation study of a slaughterhouse wastewater treatment plant including contact-assisted activated sludge and DAF. *Desalination*, 225, 167–175.
- Al-Mutairi, N.Z., (2008). Aerobic selectors in slaughterhouse activated sludge systems: a preliminary investigation. *Bioresource Technol.* 100 (1), 50-58.
- Abdalla S.M, A. (2014). Food processing wastes: Characteristics, Treatments and Utilization Review. *Journal of Algicultural and Veterinary Sciences*, 7(1), 71–84.
- Amaefule, K.U., Mbagwu, I.I., Inyang, N.E., (2011). Performance, nutrient utilization and intestinal environment of weaned rabbits fed diets supplemented with organic acids in the humid tropics. Niger. *J. Anim. Sci.* 13, 59–69.
- A-Rajhia, S., Nitin, R., Al-Qasmi, M. & Al-Saadi, A. (2012). Treatment of industrial wastewater b using microalgae. *International conference on environmental biochemical and biotechnology IPCBEE*, Vol. 41.
- Ashraf, M.A., Mohd. Jamil Maah, M.J and Yusoff, I. (2010). Study of Water Quality and Heavy Metals in Soil & Water of Ex-Mining Area Bestari Jaya, Peninsular Malaysia. *International Journal of Basic & Applied Sciences IJBAS-IJENS*, 10(3). pp. 7 – 23.
- Alva, M. S. de, Luna-Pabello, V. M., Cadena, E., & Ortíz, E. (2013). Green microalga *Scenedesmus acutus* grown on municipal wastewater to couple nutrient removal with lipid accumulation for biodiesel production. *Bioresource Technology*, 146, 744–8.
- Andersen, R. A. (2005). *Algal Culturing Techniques* (1st Edition). Burlington, USA: ELSEVIER Academic Press.

- Aravantinou, A. F., Theodorakopoulos, M. A., & Manariotis, I. D. (2013). Selection of microalgae for wastewater treatment and potential lipids production. *Bioresource Technology*, 147, 130–4.
- Arbib, Z., Ruiz, J., Álvarez-Díaz, P., Garrido-Pérez, C., & Perales, J. (2014). Capability of different microalgae species for phytoremediation processes: Wastewater tertiary treatment, CO₂ bio-fixation and low cost biofuels production. *Water Research*, 49, 465–474.
- Aris, A., Siang, H. P., & K.K.Tan. (2000). Treatment Of Food Processing Industrial Effluent. *Journal of Civil Engineering*, Vol 12. No. 1
- Aslan S, Kapdan IK, (2006). Batch kinetics of nitrogen and phosphorus removal from synthetic wastewater by algae. *Ecology Engineering*, 28(1):64e70.
- A. Worku and O. Sahu, (2014). Reduction of Heavy Metal and Hardness from Ground Water by Algae, *J. Appl. Environment. Microbiology.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp.86–89.
- Al-Darmaki, A., Govindrajan, L., Talebi, S., Al-Rajhi, S., Al-Barwani, T., Al-Bulashi, Z. (2012). Cultivation and characterization of microalgae for wastewater treatment. *Proceedings of the world congress on engineering*. Vol 1.
- Al-Mutairi, N.Z., Al-Sharifi, F.A., Al-Shammari, S.B., 2008. Evaluation study of a slaughterhouse wastewater treatment plant including contact-assisted activated sludge and DAF. *Desalination* 225 (1).
- An J.Y, Sim S.J, Lee J.S & Kim B.W, (2003). Hydrocarbon production from secondarily treated piggery wastewater by the green alga *Botryococcus braunii*, *Journal of Applied Phycology*.
- Abdel-Raouf, N., Al-Homaidan, A. & Ibraheem, I.B.M. (2012). Microalgae and wastewater treatment. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 19, pp. 257-275.
- Amorim, A.K.B., De Nardi, I.R., Del Nery, V., (2007). Water conservation and effluent minimization: case study of a poultry slaughterhouse. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 51 (1)

- ANZECC, (2000). *Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality. In the Guidelines*, vol.1.
- APHA. (2012). *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater: American Public Health Association, AWWA (American Water Works Association)*.
- Aravantinou, A.F., Theodorakopoulou, M.A., & Manariotis, I.D. (2013). Selection of microalgae for wastewater treatment and potential lipid production. *Bioresources Technology*, 147, 130-4.
- Arbib, Z., Ruiz, J., Álvarez-Díaz, P., Garrido-Pérez, C., & Perales, J. a. (2014). Capability of different microalgae species for phytoremediation processes: Wastewater tertiary treatment, CO₂ bio-fixation and low cost biofuels production. *Water Research*, 49, 465–474.
- B. Laura, G. Paolo, (2006). *Algae: Anatomy, Biochemistry, and Biotechnology*, 1 ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- Barnes, D., Forster, C.F. and Hrudey, S.E. (1984). *Surveys in industrial wastewater treatment. Food and allied industries*, London, Pitman.
- Bani-Melhem, K., Al-Qodah, Z., Al-Shannag, M., Qasaimeh, A., Rasool Qtaishat, M., & Alkasrawi, M. (2015). On the performance of real grey water treatment using a submerged membrane bioreactor system. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 476.
- Becker, E. (1994). *Microalgae : biotechnology and microbiology*. Vol. 1
- Bezerra, M. A., Santelli, R. E., Oliveira, E. P., Villar, L. S., & Escalera, L. A. (2008). Response surface methodology (RSM) as a tool for optimization in analytical chemistry. *Talanta*, 76(5), 965–977.
- Bustillo-Lecompte, C. F., & Mehrvar, M. (2015). Slaughterhouse wastewater characteristics, treatment, and management in the meat processing industry: A review on trends and advances. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 161, 287–302.
- Ciro Fernando Bustillo-Lecompte, Mehrab Mehrvar, (2016). Treatment of an actual slaughterhouse wastewater by integration of biological and advanced oxidation processes: Modeling, optimization, and cost-effectiveness

- analysis. *Journal of Environmental Management*. Volume 182, Pages 651-666.
- Bohdziewicz, J., & Sroka, E. (2006a). Application of hybrid systems to the treatment of meat industry wastewater, *Desalination*, 33–40.
- Bohdziewicz, J., & Sroka, E. (2006b). Application of hybrid systems to the treatment of meat industry wastewater. *Desalination*, 33–40.
- Bohdziewicz, J., Sroka, E., & Lobos, E. (2002). Application of the system which combines coagulation, activated sludge and reverse osmosis to the treatment of the wastewater produced by the meat industry. *Desalination*, 144, 393–398.
- Borja, R., Banks, C. J., Wang, Z., & Mancha, A. (1998). Anaerobic digestion of slaughterhouse wastewater using a combination sludge blanket and filter arrangement in a single reactor. *Bioresource Technology*, 65, 125–133.
- Bustillo-Lecompte, C. F., & Mehrvar, M. (2015). Slaughterhouse wastewater characteristics, treatment, and management in the meat processing industry: A review on trends and advances. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 161, 287–302.
- B.K. Ince, O. Ince, P.J. Sallis, G.K. Anderson, (2000). Inert COD production in a membrane anaerobic reactor treating brewery wastewater, *Water Research*, 34 (16), 3943-3948.
- Cai, T., Park, S.Y., & Li, Y. (2013). Nutrients recovery from wastewater streams by microalgae. Status and prospects. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 19, 360 – 369.
- Cabello, J., Toledo-Cervantes, A., S?nchez, L., Revah, S., & Morales, M. (2015). Effect of the temperature, pH and irradiance on the photosynthetic activity by *Scenedesmus obtusiusculus* under nitrogen replete and deplete conditions. *Bioresource Technology*, 181, 128–135.
- Chalifour, A., & Juneau, P. (2011). Temperature-dependent sensitivity of growth and photosynthesis of *Scenedesmus obliquus*, *Navicula pelliculosa* and two strains of *Microcystis aeruginosa* to the herbicide atrazine. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 103(1–2), 9–17.

- Chen, C., & Durbin, E. (1994). Effects of pH on the growth and carbon uptake of marine phytoplankton . *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 111(1992), 83–94.
- Chen, M., Li, J., Dai, X., Sun, Y., & Chen, F. (2011). Effect of phosphorus and temperature on chlorophyll a contents and cell sizes of *Scenedesmus obliquus* and *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Limnology*, 12(2), 187–192.
- Caixeta, C. E. T., Cammarota, M. C., & Xavier, a. M. F. (2002). Slaughterhouse wastewater treatment: Evaluation of a new three-phase separation system in a UA SB reactor. *Bioresource Technology*, 81, 61–69.
- Cassidy, K. O. (2011). Evaluating Algal Growth at Different Temperatures. *Biosystems and Agricultural Engineering*, 3, 1–59.
- Chinnasamy, S., Bhatnagar, A., Claxton, R., & Das, K. C. (2010). Biomass and bioenergy production potential of microalgae consortium in open and closed bioreactors using untreated carpet industry effluent as growth medium. *Bioresource Tech*, 101(17), 6751–6760.
- Chen, C., & Durbin, E. (1994). Effects of pH on the growth and carbon uptake of marine phytoplankton. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 111(1992), 83–94.
- Chen, S., 2007. Food Waste. *Food Engineering* – Vol. IV.
- Chen, S. Y., Pan, L. Y., Hong, M.J., & Lee, A. C. (2012). The effects of temperature on the growth of and ammonia uptake by marine microalgae. *Botanical Studies*, 53(1), 125 – 133.
- Cheng, D., & He, Q. (2014). Assessment of Environmental Stresses for Enhanced Microalgal Biofuel Production An Overview. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 2, 1–8.
- Christov, C., I. Pouneva, M. Bozhkova, T. Toncheva, S. Fournadzieva, and T. Zafirova. (2001). Influence of temperature and methyl jasmonate on *Scenedesmus incrassulatus*. *Biologia Plantarum*. 44(3):367–371.
- Chiaromonti, D., Prussi, M., Casini, D., Tredici, M. R., Rodolfi, L., Bassi, N. Bondioli, P. (2013). Review of energy balance in raceway ponds for microalgae cultivation : Re-thinking a traditional system is possible. *Applied Energy*, 102, 101–111

- Chisti Y. (2007). Biodiesel from microalgae. *Biotechnol Adv*, 249–306
- CEC, (1991). Urban wastewater treatment directive. Council of the European Communities (CEC). *Off. J. Eur. Communities* L (135).
- Cláudia E.T. Caixeta, Magali C. Cammarota, Alcina M.F. Xavie, (2002). Slaughterhouse wastewater treatment: evaluation of a new three-phase separation system in a UASB reactor. *Original Research Article Bioresource Technology*, Volume 81, Issue 1, Pp 61-69.
- Cohen, P. (2000). The regulation of protein function by multisite phosphorylation, a 25 years update. *Biochemical Sciences*, 25(12), 596 – 601.
- Cullings, K. W. (1992). Design and testing of a plant-specific PCR primer for ecological and evolutionary studies. *Molecular Ecology*, 1(4), 233 – 240.
- Das, P., Lei, W., Sarah, S., & Philip, J. (2011). BioSource Technology Enhanced algae growth in both phototrophic and mix trophic culture under blue light. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(4), 3883–3887.
- Deichmann, S. Schuster, J.-P. Mazat, A. (2014). Cornish-Bowden Commemorating the 1913 Michaelis–Menten paper Die Kinetik der Invertinwirkung: three perspectives. *FEBS J.*, 281. pp. 435-463.
- Dieter Hanelt, Katharina Zacher, Christian Wiencke and Angela Wulff, (2007). Grazing and UV radiation effects on an Antarctic intertidal microalgal assemblage: a long-term field study. *Polar Biology*. Volume 30, Issue 9, pp 1203–1212.
- Daneshvar, N., Oladegaragoze, A. and Djafarzadeh, N. (2006) Decolorization of basic dye solutions by electrocoagulation: an investigation of the effect of operational parameters. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, B129: 116 – 122.
- De Sena, R. F., Claudino, A., Moretti, K., Bonfanti, I. C. P., Moreira, R. F. P. M., & José, H. J. (2008). Biofuel application of biomass obtained from a meat industry wastewater plant through the flotation process-A case study. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 52, 557–569.
- Dwivedi, S. (2012). Bioremediation of Heavy Metal by Algae: Current and Future Perspective. *Journal of Advanced Laboratory Research in Biology*, III (iii), 195-199.

- Devi, K. U., Swapna, G., & Suneetha, S. (2014). 19 Microalgae in Bioremediation : Sequestration of Greenhouse Gases, Clear-out of Fugitive Nutrient Minerals, and Subtraction of Toxic Elements from Waters. *Microbial Biodegradation and Bioremediation* (pp. 335–356).
- Dvoretzky, D., Dvoretzky, S., Peshkova, E., & Temnov, M. (2015). Optimization of the Process of Cultivation of Microalgae *Chlorella Vulgaris* Biomass with High Lipid Content for Biofuel Production. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 43, 6–11.
- Dugdale, R.C. (1967). Nutrient limitation in the sea: dynamic, identification and significance. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 12, 685-695.
- Dilek (Yalcin) Duygu¹, Abel U. Udoh¹, Tulay (Baykal) Ozer, Aydin Akbulut, Ilkay (Acikgoz) Erkaya, Kazim Yildiz and Deniz Guler. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy for identification of *Chlorella vulgaris* Beijerinck 1890 and *Scenedesmus obliquus* (Turpin) Kützing 1833. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. Vol. 11(16), pp. 3817-3824,
- E. Sforza, M. Enzo, and A. Bertucco, (2014). “Design of microalgal biomass production in a continuous photobioreactor: An integrated experimental and modeling approach,” *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.*, vol. 92, no. 6, pp. 1153–1162.
- EQA, (2009). *Environmental Quality Act 1974, Department of Environmental Malaysia: A Guide For Investor*, Putrajaya, Malaysia.
- Echiegu, E. A., & Liberty, J. T. (2013). Effluents Characteristics of Some Selected Food Processing Industries in Enugu and Anambra States of Nigeria, 3(9), 46–54.
- Fernández, I., Acién, F. G., Fernández, J. M., Guzmán, J. L., Magán, J. J., & Berenguel, M. (2012). Dynamic model of microalgal production in tubular photobioreactors. *Bioresource Technology*, 126, 172–181.
- F. M. Salih, (2011). “Microalgae Tolerance to High Concentrations of Carbon Dioxide: A Review,” *J. Environ. Prot. (Irvine,. Calif)*. vol. 02, pp. 6[4]8–654,

- Gani, P., Sunar, N. M., Latiff, Kamaludin, N. S., Parjo, U. K., Emparan, Q., & Er, C. M. (2015). Experimental Study for Phycoremediation of *Botryococcus sp.* On Greywater. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 773-774, 1312–1317.
- Gani, P., Sunar, N. M., Matias-Peralta, H., Jamaian, S. S., & Latiff, A. A. A. (2016). Effects of different culture conditions on the phycoremediation efficiency of domestic wastewater. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 4(4), 4744–4753.
- Gani, P. (2017). Phycoremediation using *Botryococcus sp.* as nutrient removal in organic wastewater coupled with hydrocarbon production. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia. Tesis Ph:D
- George, B., Pancha, I., Desai, C., Chokshi, K., Paliwal, C., Ghosh, T., & Mishra, S. (2014). Effects of different media composition, light intensity and photoperiod on morphology and physiology of freshwater microalgae *Ankistrodesmus falcatus* - A potential strain for bio-fuel production. *Bioresource Technology*, 171, 367–374.
- Gupta, S. K., Ansari, F. A., Shriwastav, A., Sahoo, N. K., Rawat, I., & Bux, F. (2016). Dual role of *Chlorella sorokiniana* and *Scenedesmus obliquus* for comprehensive wastewater treatment and biomass production for bio-fuels, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 115, 255-264.
- Godos Id, Blanco S, García-Encina PA, Becares E, Munoz R, (2009). Long-term operation of high rate algal ponds for the bioremediation of piggery wastewaters at high loading rates. *Bioresource Technology* 100:4332–9
- George, B., Pancha, I., Desai, C., Chokshi, K., Paliwal, C., Ghosh, T., & Mishra, S. (2014). Effects of different media composition, light intensity and photoperiod on morphology and physiology of freshwater microalgae *Ankistrodesmus falcatus* – A potential strain for bio-fuel production. *Bioresource Technology*, 171, 367–374.
- Griffiths, M. J., & Harrison, S. T. L. (2009). Lipid productivity as a key characteristic for choosing algal species for biodiesel production. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 21(5), 493–507.

- Garcia, J., Mujeriego, R., Hernandez-Marine, M., 2000a. High rate algal pond operating strategies for urban wastewater nitrogen removal. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 12, 331–339.
- Guillard, R.R. L. 1973. Division rates. In: Stein, J. R., ed. Handbook of Phycological Methods: Culture Methods and growth measurement. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge , pp. 286-312.
- Huoqing Ge, Damien J. Batstone, Jürg Keller., (2015). Biological phosphorus removal from abattoir wastewater at very short sludge ages mediated by novel PAO clade Comamonadaceae. *Water Research*, Volume 69, Pp 173-182.
- Hernandez, D., Riao, B., Coca, M., Solana, M., Bertucco, a., & Garca-Gonzlez,, M. C. (2016). Microalgae cultivation in high rate algal ponds using slaughterhouse wastewater for biofuel applications. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 285, 449–458.
- Harun R, Singh M, Forde GM, Danquah MK. (2010). Bioprocess engineering of microalgae to produce a variety of consumer products. *Renew Sustainable Energy Rev*;14, 1037–47.
- Hodaifa, G., Marnez, M. E., & Snchez, S. (2009). Influence of pH on the culture of *Scenedesmus obliquus* in olive-mill wastewater. *Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering*, 14(6), 854–860.
- Hodaifa, G., Martnez, M. E., & Snchez, S. (2010). Influence of temperature on growth of *Scenedesmus obliquus* in diluted olive mill wastewater as culture medium. *Engineering in Life Sciences*, 10(3), 257–264.
- Horan NJ, (1996). Biological wastewater treatment systems: theory and operation. Series. John Wiley and Sons.
- H. Kusic, N. Koprivanac, L. Srsan, (2015). Azo dye degradation using Fenton type processes assisted by UV irradiation: a kinetic study, *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry* 181,195–202.
- I. Rawat, R. Ranjith Kumar, T. Mutanda, and F. Bux, “Dual role of microalgae: Phycoremediation of domestic wastewater and biomass production for

- sustainable biofuels production,” *Appl. Energy*, vol. 88, no. 10, pp. 3411– 3424.
- Jacob-lopex, E., Henrique, C., Scoparo, G., Mara, L., & Ferreira, C. (2009). Process Intensification Effect of light cycles (night / day) on CO₂ fixation and biomass production by microalgae in photo bioreactors. *Chemical Engineering and Processing* 48, 306–310.
- Juneja, A., Ceballos, R. M., Murthy, G. S. (2013). Effects of Environmental Factors and Nutrient Availability on the Biochemical Composition of Algae for Biofuels. Production: A Review. *Energies*, 6(9), 4607-4638.
- John J. A. (2000). Self-sustainable remediation system for acidic mine voids. In: *4th International Conference of Diffuse Pollution*; Pp. 506–11
- J. P. Maity, J. Bundschuh, C.-Y. Chen, and P. Bhattacharya, (2014). “Microalgae for third generation biofuel production, mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions and wastewater treatment: Present and future perspectives – A mini review,” *Energy*, vol. 78, pp. 104–113, 2014.
- Ji, M., Reda, A.I., Abou-Shanab, Hwang, J., Timmes, T.C., Kim, H., Oh, Y. & Jeon, B. (2013). Removal of Nitrogen and Phosphorus from Piggery Wastewater Effluent Using the Green Microalga *Scenedesmus obliquus*. *J. Environ. Eng.* 139. pp: 1198-1205.
- Kiepper, B., & Reynolds, E. (2003). *Characterization of poultry processing operations, wastewater generation and wastewater treatment using mail survey and nutrient discharge monitoring methods*. University of Georgia. Thesis Master of Science.
- Knauert, S. & Knauer, K. (2008). The role of reactive oxygen species in copper toxicity to two freshwater green algae. *J. Phycol.* 44:311-319.
- K. Sudhakar & M. Premalatha (2015) Characterization of Micro Algal Biomass Through FTIR/TGA /CHN Analysis: Application to *Scenedesmus sp.*, *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects*, 37:21, 2330-2337,

- Kim, D. Y., Oh, Y. K., Park, J. Y., Kim, B., Choi, S. A., & Han, J. I. (2015). An integrated process for microalgae harvesting and cell disruption by the use of ferric ions. *Bioresource Technology*, 191, 469–474.
- Kim, G., Yun, Y., Shin, H., Kim, H., & Han, J. (2015). *Scenedesmus* -based treatment of nitrogen and phosphorus from effluent of anaerobic digester and bio-oil production, *Bioresource Technology*, 196, 235–240.
- Kalin M, Wheeler WN, Meinrath G. (2005). The removal of uranium from mining waste water using algal/microbial biomass. *J Environ Radioact* 78:151-77.
- Krzeminska, I., Pawlik-Skowronska., Trzcinska, M., & Tys, J. (2014). Influence of photoperiods on the growth rate and biomass productivity of green microalgae. *Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering*, 37(4), 735 – 741.
- Khoeyi, Z. A., Seyfabadi, J., & Ramezanpour, Z. (2012). Effect of light intensity and photoperiod on biomass and fatty acid composition of the microalgae, *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Aquaculture International*, 20(1), 41–49.
- Levoie A, De la Noue. (1985). Hyper concentrated cultures of *Scenedesmus obliquus*: a new approach for wastewater biological tertiary treatment. *Water Res* ,19:1437–42
- Lee, K., & Lee, C. (2001). Effect of Light / dark Cycles on Wastewater Treatments by Microalgae Cell Growth under Different Light Conditions. *Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering*, 6, 194–199.
- Lacerda, L. M. C. F., Queiroz, M. I., Furlan, L. T., Lauro, M. J., Modenesi, K., Jacob-Lopes, E., & Franco, T. T. (2011). Improving refinery wastewater for microalgal biomass production and CO₂ biofixation: Predictive modeling and simulation. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 78(3–4), 679–686.
- Lekshmi, B., Joseph, R. S., Jose, A., Abinandan, S., & Shanthakumar, S. (2015). Studies on reduction of inorganic pollutants from wastewater by *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* and *Scenedesmus abundans*, *Alexandria Engineering Journal* Vol 54, Issue 4, Pp 1291-1296.
- Lu Q, Zhou W, Min M, Ma X, Chandra C, Doan YT, Ma Y, Zheng H, Cheng S, Griffith R, Chen P, Chen C4, Urriola PE, Shurson GC, Gislerød HR, Ruan

- R, (2015). Growing *Chlorella sp.* on meat processing wastewater for nutrient removal and biomass production. *Bioresour Technol.* 198:189
- Li, Q. & (2006). Optimization of the Growth Environment of *Botryococcus braunii* Strain CHN 357. *Journal of Freshwater Ecology*. Pp 169-176.
- Liu, J., Sun, Z., & Gerken, H. (2016.). *Recent Advances in Microalgal Biotechnology*. United states of America, OMICS Group eBooks.
- Locke, A., Canada, O., & Centre, G. F. (2008). Tabulated observations of the pH tolerance of marine and estuarine biota. *Aquatic Sciences*.
- Lundholm, N., Hansen, P. J., & Kotaki, Y. (2004). Effect of pH on growth and domoic acid production by potentially toxic diatoms of the genera *Pseudo-nitzschia* and *Nitzschia*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 273, 1–15.
- Mahale, V. E., & Chaugule, B. B. (2013). Optimization of freshwater green alga *Scenedesmus incrassatulus* for biomass production and augmentation of fatty acids under abiotic stress conditions, *Biosource Technology*, 43(1), 22–31.
- M.R. Johns., (1995). Developments in wastewater treatment in the meat processing industry: A review. *Biosource Technology* 54 (1995) 203 – 216
- Michel, J., Luís, M., Paola, M., Pirolli, M., Michelin, W., & Moreira, H. (2016). Bioresource Technology Enhancement of nutrient removal from swine wastewater digestate coupled to biogas purification by microalgae *Scenedesmus sp*, *Biosource Technology*. 202, 67–75.
- Mohammed, B, Abeer, A, Theib, O. (2013), Assessing the Efficiency of Grey-Water Reuse at Household Level and Its Suitability for Sustainable Rural and Human Development, *British Journal of Applied Science & Technology*, 3(4), 962-972.
- Milner, H.W., Davis, E.A., Dedrick, J., French, C.S., Myers, J., Smith, J.H.C., Spoehr, H.A., (1953). Laboratory experiments on *Chlorella* culture at the Carnegie Institution of Washington Department of Plant Biology. In: Burlew, J.S. (Ed.), *Algal Culture Laboratory to Pilot Plant*. Carnegie Institution of Washington, Washington, D.C., pp. 105–153.

- Moreno-Garrido, (2008). Microalgae immobilization: Current techniques and uses Ignacio. *Bioresource Technology* 99, 3949–3964.
- Molina Grima, E., Belarbi, E.-H., Acien Fernández, F.G., Robles Medina, A., Chisti, Y., (2003). Recovery of microalgal biomass and metabolites: process options and economics. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 20, 491–515.
- Mazumder A (1994) Phosphorus–chlorophyll relationships under contrasting herbivory and thermal stratification: predictions and patterns. *J Fish Aquat Sci* 51:390–400
- M.N. Merzlyak, A.E. Solovchenko, I. Khozin-Goldberg, S. Didi-Cohen, Z. Cohen, (2008). Effects of light intensity and nitrogen starvation on growth, total fatty acids and arachidonic acid in the green microalga *Parietochloris incisa*, *Journal of Applied Phycology* 20. 245–251.
- Mulbry, W., Kondrad, S., Pizarro, C., Westhead, E.K., (2008). Treatment of dairy manure effluent using freshwater algae: algal productivity and recovery of manure nutrients using pilot scale algal turf scrubbers. *Bioresource Technology* 99, 8137-8142
- Muñoz, R., & Guieysse, B. (2006). Algal-bacterial processes for the treatment of hazardous contaminants: A review. *Water Research*, 40, 2799–2815.
- Maity, J. P., Bundschuh, J., Chen, C-Y., & Bhattacharya, P. (2014). Microalgae for third generation biofuel production, mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions and wastewater treatment: Present and Future perspectives – A mini review. *Energy*, 78, 104 – 113.
- Mata, T. M., Martins, A. a., & Caetano, N. S. (2010). Microalgae for biodiesel production and other applications: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14(1), 217 – 232
- Mata, T. M., Martins, A. a., & Caetano, N. S. (2012). Parametric study of a brewery effluent treatment by microalgae *Scenedesmus Obliuus*. *Bioresource Technology*, 107, 151 – 8.
- Maier, R. M. (2009). Bacterial Growth. *Environmental Microbiology*, 37–54.
- Makarevičienė, Vaida Andrulevičiūtė, Virginija Skorupskaitė and Jūratė Kasperovičienė, (2011). *Environmental Research, Engineering and*

Management,. No. 3(57), P. 21 – 27

Medlin, L., Elwood, H. J., Stickel, S., & Sogin, M.L. (1998). The characterization of enzymatically amplified eukaryotic 16S-like rRNA-coding regions. *Gene*, 7, Pp 491 – 499.

Metcalf. (2003). Wastewater Engineering: Treatment and Reuse. McGraw-Hill Education. *American Water Works Association. Journal*; Vol. 95, 201.

Munir, N., Imtiaz, a., Sharif, N., & Naz, S. (2015). Optimization of Growth Conditions of Different Algal Strains and Determination of Their Lipid Contents. *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, 25(2), 546 – 553.

Mustafa, Y., Fagiri, A., Salleh, A., & El- nagerabi, S. a F. (2013). Influence of chemical and environmental factors on the growth performance of *Spirulina playensis* strain SZ100. *Journal of Algal Biomass Utilization*, 4(2), 7-15.

Matamoros, V., Gutiérrez, R., Ferrer, I., García, J., & Bayona, J. M. (2015). Capability of microalgae-based wastewater treatment systems to remove emerging organic contaminants : A pilot-scale study. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. Vol 288, Pp 34-42.

Musa, N. S., & Ahmad, W. A. (2010). Proceedings of Regional Annual Fundamental Science Chemical Oxygen Demand Reduction in Industrial Wastewater using Locally Isolated Bacteria. *Journal of Fundamental Sciences*. Vol. 6, 88-92.

Martinez, M. E., J. M. Jimenez, and F. El Yousfi. (1999). Influence of phosphorus concentration and temperature on growth and phosphorus uptake by the microalga *Scenedesmus obliquus*. *Bioresource Technology* 67(3), 233-240.

Mamun, A., Amid, A., Abd Karim, I., Karim, A., Alam, Z., & Abu Sayem, S. M. (2015). Phytoremediation and Mathematical Model for Domestic Wastewater by *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 9(14), 139–144

Nery, V. Del, Nardi, I. R. De, Damianovic, M. H. R. Z., Pozzi, E., Amorim, A. K. B., & Zaiat, M. (2007). Long-term operating performance of a poultry

- slaughterhouse wastewater treatment plant. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, Vol 50 (1), 102–114.
- Nalewajko, C., Colman, B., & Olaveson, M. (1997). Effects of pH on growth, photosynthesis, respiration, and copper tolerance of three *Scenedesmus* strains. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 37(2–3), 153–160.
- Neuwoehner, J., & Escher, B. I. (2011). The pH-dependent toxicity of basic pharmaceuticals in the green algae *Scenedesmus vacuolatus* can be explained with a toxicokinetic ion-trapping model. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 101(1), 266–275.
- Nichols Hw and Bold Hc., (1965). *Hand Book of Physiological Methods, Growth media- Fresh Water*, London, U.K., Cambridge University, 7-24.
- Nåsell, I. (2001). Extinction and quasi-stationarity in the Verhulst logistic model. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 211(1), 11–27.
- Nakatsuji, S., Nobusawa, M., Suzuki, H., Akutsu, H., Yamada, J. (2009). *J. Org.Chem.* 74, 9345–9350.
- N. Munir, A. Imtiaz, N. Sharif and S. Naz, (2015). Optimization Of Growth Conditions Of Different Algal Strains And Determination Of Their Lipid Contents. *The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences*, 25(2): 2015, Page: 546-553.
- Najmeh Bakuei, Ghazaleh Amini, Ghasem D Najafpour, Mohsen Jahanshahi & Maedeh Mohammadi, (2015). Optimal cultivation of *Scenedesmus* sp. microalgae in a bubble column photobioreactor. *Indian Journal of Chemical Technology* Vol. 22, Jan-Mar 2015, pp. 20-25.
- Oliver, R. L., & Ganf, G. G. (2000). Freshwater blooms, in the ecology of cyanobacteria. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*, Vol.6 (12).
- Olguin EJ, Sanchez G, Mercado G. (2004). Cleaner production and environmentally sound biotechnology for the prevention of upstream nutrient pollution in the Mexican coast of the Gulf of Mexico. *Ocean Coast Manage* 47: 641–70
- Olguín, E.J., (2003). Phycoremediation: key issues for cost-effective nutrient removal processes. *Biotechnology. Adv.* 22, 81–91

- Oostrom, A. J. v. & Bickers, P. O., (2000). Availability for denitrification of organic carbon in meat-processing wastestreams. *Bioresource Technology*, Issue 73, pp. 53-58.
- Oswald, W. (1957). Photosynthesis in Sewage Treatment. *American Society of Civil Engineer Transaction*, 122, 73-105.
- Oliver RI And Ganf GG (2000) Freshwater blooms. In: Whitton BA and Potts M (eds.). *The Ecology of Cyanobacteria: Their Diversity in Time and Space*. Kluwer, Dordrecht. 149-194.
- Ogbonna, J.C., H. Yada, H. Tanaka, (1995). Kinetic study on light-limited batch cultivation of photosynthesis cells, J. Ferment. *Bioengng.*, 80: 259-264
- Palenik, B., and Wood, A. M. 1998. *Molecular markers of phytoplankton physiological status and their application at the level of individual cells*. In: Cooksey, K. E., ed. *Molecular Approaches to the Study of the Oceans*. Chapman & Hall, London, pp. 187–205.
- Park, J., Jin, H., Lim, B., Park, K., & Lee, K. (2010). Ammonia removal from anaerobic digestion effluent of livestock waste using green alga *Scenedesmus sp.*, *Bioresource Technology*, 01.8649–8657.
- Pedersen, F., & Hansen, P. (2003). Effects of high pH on the growth and survival of six marine heterotrophic protists. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 260, 33–41.
- Pizarro C, Mulbry W, Blersch D, Kangas P. (2006). An economic assessment of algal turf scrubber technology for treatment of dairy manure effluent. *Ecol Eng* 26: 321–7
- Phang, S.-M., Chu, W-L., & Rabiei, R. (2015). The Algae World, Cellular Origin, Life in Extreme Habitats and Astrobiology. *Phycoremediation*. In J. Seckbach. Vol. 26, pp. 57-89.
- Pérez, L., Salgueiro, J. L., Maceiras, R., Cancela, Á., & Sánchez, Á. (2016). Study of influence of pH and salinity on combined flocculation of *Chaetoceros gracilis* microalgae. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 286, 106–113.
- Petrucci, Ralph H.(2007). *General Chemistry: Principles and Modern Applications 9th Ed*. New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc. 2007.

- Park JK, Chang HN, (2000). Microencapsulation of microbial cells. *Biotechnol Adv.* Jul;18 (4): 303-19.
- Qasim, W., & Mane, A. V. (2013a). Characterization and treatment of selected food industrial effluents by coagulation and adsorption techniques *Water Resources and Industry* 4, 1–12.
- Qasim, W., & Mane, a. V. (2013b). Characterization and treatment of selected food industrial effluents by coagulation and adsorption techniques. *Water Resources and Industry*, 4, 1–12.
- Qin, J. G., & Li, Y. (2006). Optimization of the Growth Environment of *Botryococcus braunii* Strain C H N 357. *Journal of Freshwater Ecology*, 21(1), 169–176.
- Rose E. McKinney. (2004). *Environmental Pollution Control Microbiology*. University of Kansas, USA.
- Riaño, B., Molinuevo, B., & García-González, M. C. (2012). Optimization of chitosan flocculation for microalgal-bacterial biomass harvesting via response surface methodology. *Ecological Engineering*, 38(1), 110–113.
- Razack, S. A., Duraiarasan, S., Shellomith, A. S. S., & Muralikrishnan, K. (2015). Statistical optimization of harvesting *Chlorella vulgaris* using a novel bio-source, *Strychnos potatorum*. *Biotechnology Reports*, 7, 150–156.
- Ruiz, J., Álvarez, P., Arbib, Z., Garrido, C., Barragán, J., Perales, J.A., (2011). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus concentration on their removal kinetic in treated urban wastewater by *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Int. J. Phytoremediat.* 13 (9), 884–896
- Rao, P. H., Kumar, R. R., Raghavan, B. G., Subramanian, V. V., & Sivasubramanian, V. (2011). Application of phycoremediation technology in the treatment of wastewater from a leather-processing chemical manufacturing facility. *African Journals Online*, Vol 37(1), 7–14.
- Ruiz, J., Alvarez, P., Arbib, Z., Garrido, C., Barragan, J., J.A., (2011). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus concentration on their removal kinetic in treated urban wastewater by *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Int. J. Phytoremediation*.

- Rasoul-amini, S., Montazeri-najafabady, N., Shaker, S., & Safari, A. (2014). Removal of nitrogen and phosphorus from wastewater using microalgae free cells in bath culture system, *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, 126–131.
- Rawat, I., Ranjith Kumar, R., Mutanda, T., & Bux, F. (2011). Dual role of microalgae: Phycoremediation of domestic wastewater and biomass production for sustainable biofuels production. *Applied Energy*, 88(10), 3411–3424.
- Rawat, I., Ranjith Kumar, R., Mutanda, T., & Bux, F. (2013). Biodiesel from microalgae: A critical evaluation from laboratory to large scale production. *Applied Energy*, 103, 444 – 467.
- Rawat, I., Gupta, S. K., Shriwasta, A., Singh, P., Kumari, S., & Bux, F. (2016). Microalgae Applications in Wastewater Treatment. In. Y. Chisti (Ed), *Algae Biotechnology, green Energy and Technology* pp.249–268.
- Ren, H.-Y., Liu, B.-F., Ma, C., Zhao, L., & Ren, N.-Q. (2013). A new lipid-rich microalga *Scenedesmus* sp. strain R-16 isolated using Nile red staining: effects of carbon and nitrogen sources and initial pH on the biomass and lipid production. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 6(1), 143.
- Ren, T. (2014). Primary Factors Affecting Growth of Microalgae Optimal Light Exposure Duration and Frequency, Paper 13793.
- Renaud, S. M., Thinh, L.-V., Lambrinidis, G., & Parry, D. L. (2002). Effect of temperature on growth, chemical composition and fatty acid composition of tropical Australian microalgae grown in batch cultures. *Aquaculture*, 211, 195–214.
- Ras M, Lardon L, Bruno S, Bernet N, Steyer J-P. (2011). Experimental study on a coupled process of production and anaerobic digestion of *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Bioresource Technology*, 102: 200–6.
- Ras, M., Steyer, J. P., & Bernard, O. (2013). Temperature effects on microalgae: A crucial factor for outdoor production. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology*, 12(2), 153 – 164.

- Rai, S. V., & Rajashekhar, M. (2014). Effect pH, salinity and temperature on the growth of six species of marine phytoplankton. *Journal of Algal Biomass Utilization*, 5(4), 55 – 59.
- Richmond A., (2004). *Handbook of microalgal culture: biotechnology and applied phycology*. Israel. Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Blackwell Science Ltd.
- Raposo, J.M.F., Oliveira, S.E., Castro, P.M., Bandarra, N.M. & Morais, R.M. (2010). On the utilization of microalgae for brewery effluent treatment and possible applications of the produced biomass. *Journal Inst. Brew.* 116(3). Pp: 285-292
- Ruiz, J., lvarez-Daz, P. D., Arbib, Z., Garrido-Perez, C., Barragn, J., & Perales, J. A. (2013). Performance of a flat panel reactor in the continuous culture of microalgae in urban wastewater: Prediction from a batch experiment. *Bioresource Technology*, 127, 456–463.
- Rênio F. de Sena *, Regina F.P.M. Moreira, Humberto J. José, (2008). Comparison of coagulant and coagulation aids for treatment of meat processing wastewater by column flotation. *Bio-source technology* 99, 8221-8225
- Ritu Tripathi, Jyoti Singh, Indu Shekhar Thakur, (2015). Characterization of microalga *Scenedesmus sp.* ISTGA1 for potential CO₂ sequestration and biodiesel production. *Renewable Energy* 74, 774-781.
- Schindler, B. W. (1978). Factors regulating phytoplankton production and standing crop in the world's freshwaters. *Limnol. Ocemogr.* 23: 478-486.
- Silvestre G, Rodriguez-Abalde A, Fernandez B, Flotats X, Bonmati A. (2015). Biomass adaptation over anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and trapped grease waste. *Bioresour Technol* 102(13):6830–6.
- S. Carrillo, Casas, M., H. Hernández, A. Marín, R.N. & Águila (2003). Use of *Sargassum spp.* algae as supplement for goats cattle, XIII Congreso Latinoamericano de Nutrición. Acapulco, Guerrero, Mexico. p. 263.
- Sánchez, J. F., Fernández-Sevilla, J. M., Acién, F. G., Cerón, M. C., Pérez-Parra, J., & Molina-Grima, E. (2008). Biomass and lutein productivity of

- Scenedesmus almeriensis*: Influence of irradiance, dilution rate and temperature. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 79(5), 719–729.
- S. Durairasan, V. Mani, (2013). Influence of bioflocculation parameters on harvesting *Chlorella salina* and its optimization using response surface methodology, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* (2013), V1, 1051-1056.
- Shekhawat, D. S. (2012). Potential of Treated Dairy Waste Water for the Cultivation of Algae and Waste Water Treatment by Algae. *Environmental Journal*. Vol 2, Issue 1: 101-104
- Shi, J., Podola, B., & Melkonian, M. (2007). Removal of nitrogen and phosphorus from wastewater using microalgae immobilized on twin layers: an experimental study. *Journal of Applied Phycology*.
- Shuler, Mi. L. (2002). *Bioprocess Engineering-Basic Concepts (2nd Edition)*. Prentice Hall; 2 edition (Pp. 553).
- Smith, V. H., Tilman, G. D., & Nekola, J. C. (1999). Eutrophication : impacts of excess nutrient inputs on freshwater, marine, and terrestrial ecosystems, *Environmental Pollution*. Vol 100, Issues 1–3, Pp 179-196
- Song, M., Pei, H., Hu, W., Zhang, S., Ma, G., Han, L., & Ji, Y. (2014). Identification and characterization of a freshwater microalga *Scenedesmus* SDEC-8 for nutrient removal and biodiesel production. *Bioresource Technology* 162, 129–135.
- Sroka, E., Kamiński, W., & Bohdziewicz, J. (2004). Biological treatment of meat industry wastewater. *Desalination*, 162, 85–91.
- SPAN (2009). *Suruhanjaya Perkhidmatan Air Negara, Third Edition*,.
- Sarabhai, A., Bhatnagar, M. & Panwar, J. (1995). Potential Waste Water for the Cultivation of Algae and Waste Water Treatment by Algae. *Universal Journal of Environmental Research and Technology*. 2(1). pp: 101-104
- Seok Oh, J., Kim, B. G., & Hyun Park, T. (2002). Importance of specific growth rate for subtilisin expression in fed-batch cultivation of *Bacillus subtilis* spoIIG mutant. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 30(6), 747–751.
- Sujaul Islam, M., Ismail, B.S., Muhammad Barzani, G., Sahibin, A.R. and Mohd Ekhwan, T. (2012). Hydrological Assessment and Water Quality

- Characteristics of Chini Lake, Pahang, Malaysia. *American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci.*, 12(6), 737-749.
- Sriram, S., & Seenivasan, R. (2012). Microalgae Cultivation in Wastewater for nutrient removal. *Journal of Algal Biomass Utilization*, 3(2), 9-13.
- Silambarasan, T., Vikramathithan, M., Dhandapani, R., Mukesh, K.D.J. & Kalaichelvan, P.T. (2012). Biological treatment of dairy effluent by microalgae. *World journal of science and technology*. 2(7). pp: 132-134
- Su, Y., Mennerich, A. & Urban, B. (2012). Comparison of nutrient removal capacity and biomass settle ability of four high-potential microalga species. *Bioresource Technology*, 124, pp. 157-162
- Shin, D.Y., Cho, H. U., Utomo, J.C., Choi, Y., Xu, X., & Park, J. M. (2015). Biodiesel production from *Scenedesmus bijuga* grown in anaerobically digested food wastewater effluent. *Biosource Technology*, 184, 215 – 21.
- Suad, J. & Gu, S., (2014). “Commercialization potential of microalgae for biofuels production,” *Renewable Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14, pp. 2596–610.
- Shen Y, Yuan W, Pei Z, Mao E (2008). “Culture of Microalga *Botryococcus* in Livestock Wastewater”. *American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers*. pp: 1395-1400.
- Singh, J. & Gu, S. (2010). Commercialization potential of microalgae for biofuels production. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14, pp.2596-2610
- Shuler, Mi. L., (2002). *Bioprocess Engineering-Basic Concepts (2nd Edition)*, New Jersey, U.S.A. Prentice Hall PTR.
- Stehfest, K., Toepel, J., Wilhelm, C. (2005). The application of micro-FTIR spectroscopy to analyze nutrient stress-related changes in biomass composition of phytoplankton algae. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 43(7), 717-726.
- Sanyano, N., Chetpattananondh, P., & Chongkhong, S. (2013). Coagulation-flocculation of marine *Chlorella* sp. for biodiesel production. *Bioresource Technology*, 147, 471–476.
- Surendhiran, D., & Vijay, M. (2013). Influence of bio flocculation parameters on harvesting *Chlorella* saline and its optimization using response surface

- methodology. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 1(4), 1051–1056.
- Strigula, N., H. Detteb, V.B. Melasc, (2009). A practical guide for optimal designs of experiments in the Monod model, *Environ. Model. Software*, 24: 1019–1026.
- Tredici MR. (2004). *Mass production of microalgae: Photo bioreactors*, In: Richmond, A (ed.). Handbook of microalgal culture. Blackwell Science; 2004. 178214
- T. M. Mata, A. C. Melo, M. Simões, and N. S. Caetano, (2012). “Parametric study of a brewery effluent treatment by microalgae *Scenedesmus obliquus*,” *Bioresource. Technology*, vol. 107, pp. 151–8
- Tsoularis, A., & Wallace, J. (2002). Analysis of logistic growth models. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 179(1), 21–55.
- Tritt, W.P. and F. Schuchardt, (1992), Materials flow and possibilities of treating liquid and solid wastes from slaughterhouse in Germany. *Biosources Technology* 41:235-245.
- Terry KL, Raymond LP (1985) System design for the auto- trophic production of microalgae. *Enzyme Microb. Tech- nol.* 7: 474-487.
- US EPA, (2004). Effluent limitations guidelines and new source performance standards for the meat and poultry products point source category. U. S. Environ. Prot. Agency (US EPA) Fed. Regist. 69 (173).
- Ubaid Rahman, Amna Sahar, Muhammad Azam Khan, (2014). Recovery and utilization of effluents from meat processing industries. *Food Research International* 65. 322–328.
- Valderrama, L.T., Del Campo, C.M., Rodriguez, C.M., De-Bashan, L.E., Bashan, Y., (2002). Treatment of recalcitrant wastewater from ethanol and citric acid production using the microalga *Chlorella vulgaris* and macrophyte *Lemna minuscule*. *Water Res.* 36, 4185–4192.
- Wahidin, S., Idris, A., Raehanah, S., & Shaleh, M. (2013). The influence of light intensity and photoperiod on the growth and lipid content of microalgae *Nannochloropsis sp.* *Bioresource Technology*, 129, 7–11.

- Water research center, (2014). Water-research.net. January, 14th 2016 from <https://www.water-research.net>
- Wang, B., Lan, C.Q., Horsman, M., (2012). Closed photo bioreactors for production of microalgal biomasses. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 30, 904–912.
- Worku, A., & Sahu, O. (2014). Reduction of Heavy Metal and Hardness from Ground Water by Algae. *Journal of Applied & Environmental Microbiology*, 2(3), 86–89.
- Xin, L., Hong-ying, H., Ke, G., & Ying-xue, S. (2010a). Effects of different nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations on the growth, nutrient uptake, and lipid accumulation of a freshwater microalga *Scenedesmus* sp. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(14), 5494–5500.
- Xin, L., Hong-ying, H., Ke, G., & Ying-xue, S. (2010b). Effects of different nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations on the growth, nutrient uptake, and lipid accumulation of a freshwater microalga *Scenedesmus* sp. *Bioresource Technology*, 101, 5494–5500.
- Xin, L., Hong-ying, H., & Yu-ping, Z. (2011). Growth and lipid accumulation properties of a freshwater microalga *Scenedesmus* sp. under different cultivation temperature. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(3), 3098–3102.
- Xin, L., Hu, H., Ke, G., & Sun, Y. (2010). Effects of different nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations on the growth, nutrient uptake, and lipid accumulation of a freshwater microalga *Scenedesmus* sp. *Bioresource Technology*.
- Xue, C., Qianru, Y.G., Weifeng, T., Iqbal, H., Wei, N.C., Raymond, L., (2011). Lumostatic strategy for microalgae cultivation utilizing image analysis and chlorophyll a content as design parameters. *Bioresource Technology*. 102, 6005–6012.
- Xin Ge, Mei-Chun Li, Ur Ryong Cho, (2013). Mechanical performance, water absorption behavior and biodegradability of poly (methylmethacrylate)-modified starch/SBR biocomposites. *Macromolecular Research*, Volume 21, Issue 7, pp 793–800.

- Yang, S.T., Wei, A.L., Zhang, X.W., Wei, D., Chen, G., Wu, Q.Y., (2009). Effects of cassava starch hydrolysate on cell growth and lipid accumulation of the heterotrophic microalgae *Chlorella protothecoides*. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 36, 1383–1389.
- Yang, I.-S., Salama, E.-S., Kim, J.-O., Govindwar, S. P., Kurade, M. B., Lee, M., Jeon, B.-H. (2016). Cultivation and harvesting of microalgae in photobioreactor for biodiesel production and simultaneous nutrient removal. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 117, 54–62.
- Zhu, M., Zhang, X., Mao Y., et al., (200). Effects of Temperature, Salinity and Illumination on the Growth of *Thalassiosira sp.* *Marine Sciences*, 27(12) p.58-61.
- Zhang, T.-Y., Wu, Y.-H., Zhu, S.-F., Li, F.-M., Ho, H.-Y., (2013). Isolation and heterotrophic cultivation of mix trophic microalgae strains for domestic wastewater treatment and lipid production under dark condition, *Bioresource Technology* 149: 586–9.
- Zou, S., Wu, Y., Yang, M., Li, C., & Tong, J. (2010). Bio-oil production from sub- and supercritical water liquefaction of microalgae *Dunaliella tertiolecta* and related properties. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 3(8), 1073–1078.

